

## INVITED ARTICLE

Martin J. Cohn · Philippa E. Bright

# Molecular control of vertebrate limb development, evolution and congenital malformations

Received: 19 October 1998 / Accepted: 12 November 1998

**Abstract** The vertebrate limb is a powerful model system for studying the cellular and molecular interactions that determine morphological pattern during embryonic development. Recent advances in our understanding of these interactions have shed new light on the molecular mechanisms of vertebrate limb development, evolution and congenital malformations. The transfer of information has, until recently, been largely one way, with developmental studies informing our understanding of the fossil record and clinical limb anomalies; however, evolutionary and clinical studies are now beginning to shed light onto one another and onto basic developmental processes. In this review, we discuss recent advances in these fields and how they are interacting to improve our understanding of vertebrate limb biology.

**Key words** Limb development · Limb evolution · Pattern formation · Embryo · Fibroblast growth factor · Hox genes

## Introduction

Paired limbs are one of the defining features of jawed vertebrates. Important morphological differences distinguish forelimbs and hindlimbs, although the basic skeletal pattern is shared, with a single proximal long bone (humerus in forelimb and femur in hindlimb) articulating distally with a pair of long bones (ulna and radius in forelimb and tibia and

fibula in hindlimb), followed by a series of carpals (forelimb) or tarsals (hindlimb) and digits (Fig. 1F). This complex, three-dimensional pattern of structures is polarized along three main axes: the proximodistal (shoulder to fingertips), anteroposterior (thumb to small finger) and dorsoventral (palmar to flexor) (Fig. 1A). During embryonic development, the first visible sign of limbs is the appearance of paired buds from lateral plate mesoderm. These buds consist of undifferentiated mesenchyme cells covered by an ectodermal jacket. A spectacular process during development transforms this homogeneous population of cells into the highly ordered series of structures that makes up the mature limb. The cellular basis of this process has been the focus of experimental investigations for most of the twentieth century (reviewed in Harrison 1969; Hinchliffe and Johnson 1980), and the major signaling regions that specify pattern in the early limb bud have been identified. The molecular basis of these interactions has been the focus of considerable research, and specific genes have been linked to these cellular interactions. Molecular control of earlier events in limb development, such as specification of limb position and identity, and initiation of limb budding, has been a major area of investigation over the past few years, and a detailed understanding of the molecular genetics of limb development is becoming a reality.

## Specification and initiation of limbs

Paired limbs (and fins) are specified in lateral plate mesoderm at particular levels along the main body axis of jawed vertebrates. The lateral plate mesoderm is subdivided into splanchnic and somatic components, with the former giving rise to smooth muscle of the gut and the latter giving rise to forelimbs, hindlimbs and intervening flank regions (Fig. 2). Why limb budding is initiated at only two positions within lateral plate mesoderm of all tetrapods is a major unresolved question, although a hypothesis linking this evolutionarily conserved process to regionalization of the gut has recently been proposed (see Coates and Cohn 1998).

---

M.J.C. is supported by a BBSRC David Phillips Fellowship.

---

M. J. Cohn (✉)  
Division of Zoology, School of Animal and Microbial Sciences,  
University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AJ, UK  
e-mail: m.j.cohn@reading.ac.uk;  
Tel.: +44 118 987 5123, ext. 7084; Fax: +44 118 931 0180

P. E. Bright  
Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Berkshire Hospital,  
London Road, Reading RG1 5AN, UK

The molecular basis of limb initiation has come into focus within the past 4 years. In 1995, we reported that carrier beads loaded with fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and applied to the flank (or interlimb region) of chick embryos can induce development of complete additional limbs (Fig. 2A,F; Cohn et al. 1995). This discovery, together with similar findings from Ohuchi et al., indicated that FGF alone is sufficient to activate the genetic pathway required for limb development (Cohn et al. 1995; Ohuchi et al. 1995). Subsequent work showed that *Fgf10* and *Fgf8* are expressed in lateral plate and intermediate mesoderm, respectively, prior to the onset of limb budding, and that *Fgf8* is later expressed in limb ectoderm as budding is initiated (Crossley et al. 1996; Ohuchi et al. 1997; Vogel et al. 1996). *Fgf8* and *Fgf10* appear to interact, perhaps through Fgf receptor 2 (FGFR2), during limb bud initiation (Ohuchi et al. 1997; Xu et al. 1998). The earliest visible sign of ectopic limb formation after FGF application is a thickening in the flank, which is the result of increased cell number (Fig. 2B). The flank cells give rise to a limb bud (Fig. 2C), and an apical ridge subsequently forms in the ectoderm overlying the ectopic bud (Fig. 2D). The bud has its own signaling regions and develops autonomously to form a complete limb (Fig. 2E). Very brief exposure of flank cells to FGF (as little as 1 h) is sufficient to activate the limb development cascade, suggesting that FGF may function as a master switch in limb induction (Cohn et al. 1995; M.J. Cohn and A. Isaac, unpublished). Although during normal development, flank cells do not contribute to limbs, FGF can respecify the same population of flank cells to give rise to forelimb or hindlimb, according to the anteroposterior position at which FGF is applied (Cohn et al. 1997). Application of FGF to the anterior region of the flank respecifies flank cells to form a forelimb, and application to posterior flank respecifies them to form a hindlimb. Members of *Hox* paralog group 9, *Hoxb9*, *Hoxc9* and *Hoxd9*, are expressed in lateral plate mesoderm in regionally specific patterns related to limb specification and budding. For example, the anterior expression boundaries of *Hoxb9*, *Hoxc9* and *Hoxd9* overlap at the level of the forelimb, and the anterior limit of the hindlimb is positioned at the posterior boundary between high and low levels of *Hoxb9* expression (Cohn et al. 1997). FGF-induced respecification of flank cells towards limb identity shifts the boundaries of *Hox9* expression in lateral plate mesoderm to reproduce a forelimb or hindlimb pattern in the flank (Cohn et al. 1997). Direct evidence for the role of Hox genes in determining limb position comes from a loss of function mutation in the *Hoxb5* gene, which results in an anterior shift in the position of the forelimb (Rancourt et al. 1995). Thus, it appears that specific combinations of Hox gene expression are involved in determining whether forelimbs, flank or hindlimbs develop at specific axial positions. It is noteworthy, however, that functional inactivation of *Hoxa9*, *Hoxb9* or *Hoxc9* individually results in axial skeletal defects, but limbs appear to develop normally (Chen and Capecchi 1997; Fromental-Ramain et al. 1996; Suemori et al. 1995). In contrast, *Hoxd9* mutants have forelimb malformations, and these defects are more severe when both *Hoxa9* and *Hoxd9* are inactivated in the

same animal (Fromental-Ramain et al. 1996). This suggests that *Hoxd9* may compensate for *Hoxa9*, but *Hoxa9* cannot fully compensate for *Hoxd9*. Double mutants from *Hoxa9* and *Hoxb9* also have axial but not limb defects (Chen and Capecchi 1997). If *Hox9* genes interact to position the limbs, then compound mutants should reveal this, and therefore it will be interesting to see whether loss of the full complement of *Hox9* genes has an effect on limb position. This approach may be complicated by the ability of Hox genes to interact with orthologous as well as paralogous genes, as several studies have demonstrated that inactivation of a single Hox gene can alter other Hox expression patterns (Chen and Capecchi 1997; Fromental-Ramain et al. 1996; Suemori et al. 1995).

A key question arising from this work is whether Hox genes act as transcriptional activators of Fgf during limb specification. An interesting clue has come from work on melanoma cell lines, which has shown that *Hoxb7* directly activates transcription of *Fgf2* by binding to specific homeodomain binding sites in the *Fgf2* promoter region (Caré et al. 1996). While no such link has yet been demonstrated between the Hox genes and FGFs involved in limb initiation, it is indeed an attractive possibility that a similar interaction among these genes coordinates positioning of limbs and initiation of budding.

---

### **Limb identity: forelimbs or hindlimbs?**

The discovery that FGF can induce both forelimbs and hindlimbs to form from the same population of flank cells has raised new questions about the molecular control of limb identity. What determines whether a limb bud will give rise to forelimb or hindlimb structures? In addition to the quantitative and qualitative differences in Hox gene expression in prospective forelimbs and hindlimbs, important new work has shown that another family of transcriptional regulators, the T-box (*Tbx*) genes, are also differentially expressed in forelimbs and hindlimbs of vertebrates (Gibson-Brown et al. 1996, 1998; Isaac et al. 1998; Logan et al. 1998; Ohuchi et al. 1998). *Tbx4* expression is restricted to the leg, and *Tbx5* is expressed in forelimb and flank (Gibson-Brown et al. 1998; Isaac et al. 1998; Logan et al. 1998; Ohuchi et al. 1998). Two other *Tbx* genes, *Tbx2* and *Tbx3*, are expressed in both forelimbs and hindlimbs (Gibson-Brown et al. 1996). FGF induction of extra limbs from the flank alters the pattern of *Tbx4* and *Tbx5* expression in a pattern consistent with the identity of the ectopic limb (Gibson-Brown et al. 1998; Isaac et al. 1998; Logan et al. 1998; Ohuchi et al. 1998). When chick wing bud mesenchyme cells are transplanted under the apical ridge of the leg bud, and vice versa, the pattern of *Tbx* expression in the graft is stable, consistent with previous work which showed that the grafted cells retain their original identity (Isaac et al. 1998). Together, these results suggest that *Tbx* plays a role in determining forelimb and hindlimb identity. Recent discoveries of *Tbx* mutations in human syndromes affecting the limbs are consistent with these genes playing an impor-

tant role in pattern formation (see below), although direct evidence that Tbx genes encode limb identity will require further functional studies. Other transcription factors have also been shown to have expression patterns restricted to one pair of limbs, such as the homeobox genes *Ptx1* and *Backfoot*, which are expressed in hindlimbs but not forelimbs (Shang et al. 1997; Logan et al. 1998). Studies of spontaneous mouse mutants, human limb malformations and mutagenesis screens have not yet revealed a reversal of limb identity, which suggests that control of limb identity may be more complex than single “identity” genes. Limb mesenchyme cells may acquire forelimb or hindlimb pattern by interpreting presence or absence of a gene product as well as differences in gene dosage or expression patterns, and, as such, functional tests for candidate limb identity genes may prove very challenging.

An unresolved question is what determines the position of the limbs with respect to the dorsoventral axis of the embryo. *Fgf8* expression in the prospective forelimb and hindlimb ectoderm is activated in the same dorsoventral plane, and, subsequently, forelimb and hindlimb buds are initiated in register with one another (Crossley et al. 1996). Application of FGF beads to the flank also induces ectopic limbs along this dorsoventral line, irrespective of whether the beads are placed dorsally or ventrally within the lateral plate (Crossley et al. 1996; Altabef et al. 1997). This suggests that limbs are positioned along a dorsoventral boundary in the lateral plate, consistent with the model of Meinhardt (1983). The molecular basis of limb specification along the dorsoventral axis is not yet understood, but the pace at which work in this area is moving suggests that the answer may come soon.

---

## Outgrowth and patterning: generating bones from buds

### Proximodistal axis I: apical ridge formation

After initiation of limb budding, limb buds continue to grow out under the influence of a specialized epithelial ridge at the apex of the bud, known as the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) (Fig. 1A). The apical ridge runs along the boundary between the dorsal and ventral limb ectoderm. The ridge is induced and maintained by a signal from underlying mesenchyme. The precise mesenchymal signal that induces apical ridge formation has not yet been determined, but the observation that this signal is not restricted to apical mesenchyme indicates that dorsoventral localization of the ridge must be determined by the ectoderm (Carrington and Fallon 1986). Recent work has identified several genes expressed in limb bud ectoderm that act to position the ridge at the apex of the limb ectoderm. *Radical Fringe* (*r-Fng*) is expressed in the dorsal half of the limb ectoderm prior to ridge formation, and the ridge develops at the boundary of *r-Fng*-expressing and non-expressing cells (Laufer et al. 1997; Rodriguez-Esteban et al. 1997). The role of *r-Fng* in determining ridge position can be demonstrated by overexpression of the gene using a retroviral vec-

tor, which causes displacement of the ridge to the new expression boundary (Laufer et al. 1997; Rodriguez-Esteban et al. 1997).


Two members of the Wnt gene family, *Wnt3a* and *Wnt7a*, are also expressed in dorsal limb ectoderm (Kengaku et al. 1998; Parr et al. 1993). *Wnt3a* and *r-Fng* become restricted to the apical ridge later in development, and misexpression of *Wnt3a* can induce ectopic expression of *r-Fng*. Ectopic expression of *Wnt3a* can, like *r-Fng*, displace the apical ridge and *Fgf8* expression into the ventral ectoderm (Kengaku et al. 1998). WNT7A, in contrast, seems to be involved in specification of dorsoventral pattern in the limb (see below), but is not involved in localization of the apical ridge in chick embryos (Kengaku et al. 1998); however, WNT7A does appear to be required for ectopic ridge formation in *En1* mutant mice (Cygan et al. 1997). WNT3A activates *Fgf8* expression through the  $\beta$ -catenin/*Lef1* pathway, whereas WNT7A signals through a separate, unknown pathway (Kengaku et al. 1998). This important finding demonstrates that these two Wnt genes have evolved separate functions in limb development by utilizing distinct signaling pathways.

### Proximodistal axis II: apical ridge signaling

The apical ridge is the source of secreted signaling molecules that maintain the underlying mesenchyme in an undifferentiated, proliferative state. In a classic experiment, John W. Saunders Jr. demonstrated that the apical ridge is required for proximodistal outgrowth of the limb by surgically removing it from early limb buds. Removal of the ridge causes limb development to arrest, resulting in loss of distal structures (Saunders 1948). The severity of limb truncation depends upon the stage at which the ridge is removed, with earlier removals resulting in more severe truncations (Summerbell 1974). The activity of the apical ridge is mediated by FGF. Three members of the FGF family are expressed in the apical ridge; *Fgf4* is expressed posteriorly and *Fgf2* and *Fgf8* are expressed throughout the ridge (Fig. 1D,E; Crossley and Martin 1995; Heikinheimo et al. 1994; Mahmood et al. 1995; Niswander and Martin 1992; Savage and Fallon 1995; Savage et al. 1993; Suzuki et al. 1992). Application of any one of these FGFs after ridge removal is sufficient to rescue outgrowth and patterning of the limb (Fallon et al. 1994; Niswander et al. 1993; Vogel et al. 1996) indicating that FGF is the key outgrowth signal produced by the apical ridge.

### Proximodistal axis III: the progress zone

Fibroblast growth factors from the apical ridge maintain two specialized regions of mesenchymal cells, the progress zone and the polarizing region, or zone of polarizing activity (ZPA; Vogel and Tickle 1993). The progress zone is a narrow band of distal mesenchyme cells subjacent to the apical ridge, in which proximodistal identity is specified in the limb (Fig. 1A). There is considerable experimental ev-



**Fig. 1A–F** Signaling regions and gene expression patterns in the chick wing bud. **A** Schematic diagram of a stage 21 chick wing bud in lateral view, with axes indicated above. Major signaling regions are the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) in green, the progress zone in blue, and the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) in red. **B–E** Gene expression patterns as revealed by whole-mount in situ hybridization. Positive signal indicated by blue/purple stain. **B** Expression of *Sonic hedgehog* in ZPA; **C** expression of *EphA4* (formerly *Cek8*) in progress zone. **D, E** Double in situ hybridization showing expression of *Fgf8* in apical ridge and *Ptc* in posterior mesenchyme. **F** Whole-mount skeletal preparation of 10-day chick wing, stained and cleared to show cartilage pattern

idence to suggest that the period of time cells spend in the progress zone determines their address along the proximo-distal axis (Summerbell et al. 1973). According to this idea, cells exiting the zone after a short period will acquire a proximal positional address to form, for example, a humerus, whereas cells remaining in the progress zone for longer periods acquire progressively more distal addresses, such that the last cells to leave will give rise to terminal phalanges in the digits. This model predicts that distal mesenchyme cells measure the length of time that they are in the

presence of a specific factor or group of factors. This could be achieved by a counting mechanism; however, a more attractive possibility is that distal mesenchyme cells may employ a quantitative response to a factor that accumulates in response to ridge signals, perhaps by a mechanism similar to that which controls the timing of cell differentiation in other organ systems (Durand et al. 1997). Several genes are now known to be expressed in the progress zone, including transcription factors such as *rel/NFkappaB* (Bushdid et al. 1998; Kanegae et al. 1998), the LIM-homeodomain gene *Lhx2* (Rodriguez-Esteban et al. 1998), the homeobox genes *Msx1* and *Evx1* (Davidson et al. 1991; Niswander and Martin 1993), the signaling molecules *Wnt5a* and *Fgf10* (Ohuchi et al. 1997; Parr et al. 1993), an *Eph*-related receptor tyrosine kinase known as *EphA4* (Fig. 2C; Patel et al. 1996), and the zinc finger gene *Slug* (Ros et al. 1997). Transcription of most of these genes depends on FGF signaling from the apical ridge. The *Rel/NFkappaB* gene, a vertebrate homolog of the *Dorsal* gene in *Drosophila*, regulates expression of *Twist*, a helix-loop-helix transcription factor (Bushdid et al. 1998; Kanegae et al. 1998). If the *NFkappaB* pathway in vertebrates mirrors the *Dorsal* pathway in flies, then it may act through *Twist* to control expression of FGF receptors in the distal limb (Tickle 1998), which would be a mechanism by which FGF could indirectly regulate its own receptor to control limb outgrowth.

How is FGF transferred from the apical ridge and integrated into the progress zone? FGF4 and FGF8 are known to be secreted from the cell, and FGF2 may be released by cell damage or cell death (McNeil 1993), which is known to occur in the ridge. Transfer of FGF from the apical ridge cells to the FGF receptors (FGFR) on the underlying mesenchyme cells may be facilitated by CD44, a cell surface proteoglycan, which is co-expressed with FGF8 in the apical ridge (Sherman et al. 1998). Blocking CD44 activity using specific antibodies interferes with presentation of FGF8 and FGF4 to the adjacent mesenchyme cells and inhibits outgrowth of the treated limb (Sherman et al. 1998). CD44 on one cell may act to present FGF on the same cell to its receptor or to heparan sulfate proteoglycans in the limb bud mesenchyme (Sherman et al. 1998).

#### Dorsoventral axis

It should be apparent from the above discussion of apical ridge localization that considerable interplay exists between the proximodistal and dorsoventral axes of the limb bud. In addition to specifying ridge position, dorsoventrally restricted gene expression patterns establish the dorsoventral pattern of the limb. Initial dorsoventral polarity of the prospective limb mesenchyme may be determined by planar signaling from adjacent cell populations; the somites provide a dorsalizing factor and the lateral somatopleure (superficial layer of the lateral plate) provides a ventralizing signal (Michaud et al. 1997). The prospective limb mesenchyme then signals to the overlying ectoderm to specify ectodermal dorsoventral polarity (Geduspan and MacCabe


**Fig. 2A–E** FGF induction of additional limb from the flank of chick embryo. **A** FGF-loaded bead is implanted in the prospective flank region on the right side of the embryo prior to limb budding, at stage 14.

**B** Transverse section through embryo 24 h after FGF application. A dramatic increase in cell number is observed on the FGF-treated side of the embryo. Compare with untreated contralateral side.

**C** Scanning electron micrograph of flank 36 h after FGF application. A discrete ectopic limb bud is visible between the wing and leg buds. Apical ridge is not yet visible.

**D** Forty-eight hours after FGF application the bud is well developed and capped by an apical ectodermal ridge (*AER*, arrows).

**E** Complete ectopic leg at 10 days of development, stained with alcian green and cleared



1989). After dorsal and ventral identities are established in the overlying ectoderm, the ectoderm signals back to the mesenchyme to determine the final pattern of the limb (MacCabe et al. 1974). Once this transfer of “command” has taken place, 180° rotation of the limb bud ectoderm along the dorsoventral axis results in respecification of dorsoventral pattern in the distal limb bud mesenchyme (MacCabe et al. 1974).


Dorsal pattern of the limb appears to be controlled in part by the *Wnt7a* gene, which is expressed in the dorsal ectoderm (Parr and McMahon 1995). Loss-of-function mutation of the mouse *Wnt7a* gene results in ventralization of the distal dorsal aspect of the limb (Parr and McMahon 1995). WNT7A induces expression of *Lmx1* in the dorsal limb mesenchyme (Riddle et al. 1995; Vogel et al. 1995), and inactivating the *Lmx1b* gene results in partial loss of dorsal structures (Chen et al. 1998). Ectopic expression of either *Wnt7a* or *Lmx1* in chick limbs is sufficient to induce development of dorsal features on the ventral aspect of the limb (Riddle et al. 1995; Vogel et al. 1995). In the ventral half of the limb ectoderm, the homeobox gene *Engrailed1* (*En1*) is expressed. Loss of *En1* causes the ventral aspect of the limb to be dorsalized (Loomis et al. 1996). Loss of *En1* expression allows *Wnt7a* and *Lmx1* expression to spread into the ventral aspect of the limb, where they induce a dorsal fate. Interestingly, loss of *Wnt7a* does not alter *En1* expression, demonstrating that the default fate of limb cells is to have a ventral identity and this is prevented dorsally by WNT7A (Parr and McMahon 1995). Acquisition of dorsal fate in the ventral limb of *En1* mutants is therefore achieved by ectopic *Wnt7a* and *Lmx1* expression (Cygan et al. 1997). EN1 normally prevents dorsalization in the ventral limb by repressing expression of *Wnt7a* in the ectoderm (Logan et

al. 1997), and, as a result, *Lmx1* expression is confined to the dorsal limb mesenchyme.

Another feature of *En1* mutants is the expansion of the apical ridge into the ventral ectoderm (Loomis et al. 1996), pointing to a role for *En1* in restriction of the apical ridge to the apex of the bud. Overexpression of *En1* leads to elimination of the apical ridge, or displaces it into the dorsal ectoderm (Logan et al. 1997). The boundary of *En1* expression may determine the ventral limit of the apical ridge by defining the boundary of *r-Fng* expression (or its functional homolog in mice) at the apex of the limb ectoderm.

#### Anteroposterior axis

The anteroposterior axis is controlled by the polarizing region [or zone of polarizing activity (ZPA)], a specialized mesenchymal signaling region located at the posterior margin of the limb (Fig. 1A). In the chick wing, which contains only three digits, digit 2 is the most anterior, followed by digit 3 in the middle and digit 4 posteriorly. Transplantation of an additional polarizing region to the anterior margin of the limb bud results in a mirror-image duplication of the digits, such that the anterior to posterior pattern of digits is 4-3-2-2-3-4, rather than the normal 2-3-4 pattern (Saunders and Gasseling 1968). This experiment demonstrates that the polarizing region is the source of a signal that bestows a posterior identity on limb mesenchyme cells, with cells closest to the polarizing region acquiring the most posterior fate. Cells in the polarizing region express the *Sonic hedgehog* (*Shh*) gene, which codes for a secreted signaling molecule (Fig. 1B) (Riddle et al. 1993). Application of SHH protein or *Shh*-expressing cells to the anterior margin of the



**Fig. 3A–F** Congenital malformations of human limbs. **A** Polydactyly in the foot. Triplication of the first toe (*white stars*) results in the presence of seven digits. Note increased breadth of first metatarsal. **B** Polydactyly in the hand involving duplication of the thumb (*white stars*). **C** Syndactyly in hand of individual with Apert syndrome. *Arrow* indicates distal bony fusion of digits. **D** Hand of child with achondroplasia. Note that metacarpal and phalangeal epiphyses have already fused although carpals remain largely unossified (compare with unfused bones in **B** and **E**). **E** Ectrodactyly in hand. Two digits are completely absent and hamate and capitate are fused (*black star*). **F** Forelimb with severe ectrodactyly, dysplastic, hemimelic long bones and absence of elbow joint. Note shortness of ulna and radius relative to metacarpals

limb can mimic the effect of a polarizing region graft by inducing a mirror-image pattern of digits. Retinoic acid is enriched in the posterior region of the limb (Maden et al. 1998; Thaller and Eichele 1987) and application of retinoic acid to the anterior margin of the limb bud can also induce mirror-image duplication of the digits (Tickle et al. 1982). Application of retinoic acid activates the *Shh* pathway in the limb (Riddle et al. 1993), and both retinoic acid and SHH can act in a dose-dependent and time-dependent manner, with higher doses and longer exposure periods inducing more posterior fates (Tickle et al. 1985; Yang et al.

1997). Retinoic acid appears to be required for *Shh* expression, as application of retinoid antagonists to the posterior aspect of the limb results in loss of *Shh* expression (Stratford et al. 1996). *Hoxb8* is expressed in lateral plate mesoderm with an anterior expression boundary located in the posterior region of the forelimb bud in chick and mouse embryos (Charite et al. 1994; Lu et al. 1997; Stratford et al. 1997). Retinoic acid application to the anterior limb induces a direct, rapid induction of *Hoxb8* anteriorly (Lu et al. 1997). Transgenic experiments have revealed that anterior extension of the *Hoxb8* expression boundary results in an ectopic zone of *Shh* expression, which leads to polydactyly in the forelimbs (Charite et al. 1994). Thus, *Hoxb8* expression in lateral plate mesoderm along the main body axis appears to specify the position of the polarizing region within the limb. Retinoic acid appears to lie upstream of *Hoxb8* expression, which lies upstream of *Shh* expression, in the polarizing region pathway.

Maintenance of *Shh* expression and polarizing activity in the limb also requires FGF4 from the apical ectodermal ridge (Laufer et al. 1994). SHH, in turn, feeds back to maintain *Fgf4* expression in the apical ridge. This positive feedback loop between FGF4 in the apical ridge and SHH in the polarizing region coordinates proximodistal outgrowth and anteroposterior patterning. Inactivation of *Shh* in mice results in proximodistal truncation of the limbs, confirming its role in maintaining the proximodistal outgrowth machinery (Chiang et al. 1996). WNT7A from the dorsal ectoderm is also involved in maintaining *Shh* expression in limb bud mesenchyme (Yang and Niswander 1995), although it appears that this is indirect (Cygan et al. 1997). Thus, multiple molecular interactions link the anteroposterior, proximodistal and dorsoventral axes to generate the integrated system required for limb bud outgrowth and patterning.

How does SHH activate the polarizing region pathway in the limb? Although SHH is a secreted protein, and can generate dose- and time-dependent effects, it does not appear to act over a long range. Instead, SHH remains tethered to the cell surface. Post-translational processing of SHH results in cleavage of the protein and addition of cholesterol to the N-terminal peptide. Attachment of lipophilic cholesterol results in binding of the N-terminal portion of the protein to the surface of the cell, thereby preventing its diffusion throughout the limb (Porter et al. 1996; Yang et al. 1997). This is consistent with the observation that SHH protein is confined to the region of *Shh* transcription in the polarizing region (Marti et al. 1995). The long-range effects of *Shh* must therefore be mediated by secondary signals in the limb, such as the bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). SHH induces transcription of *Bmp2* by repression of Patched (Ptc) (Marigo et al. 1996b). Patched is a transmembrane receptor that is expressed in regions of hedgehog gene expression (Fig. 1D,E; Marigo et al. 1996a,b; for a detailed review of the hedgehog signaling pathway, see Hammerschmidt et al. 1997). Other members of the hedgehog gene family can also act through Patched receptors (two *Patched* genes have been discovered in mice, and both appear to be co-expressed with *Sonic hedgehog*; Motoyama



**Fig. 4** Naturally occurring polydactyly in one of Hemmingway's cats. Front paws of the cat show additional digits (*arrows*) on the anterior side of the limbs. The cat is a descendent of the original population living at Ernest Hemmingway's house in Key West, Florida

et al. 1998). *Indian hedgehog* (*Ihh*) acts through *ptc* in the formation of cartilage, and is expressed later than *Shh* during limb development (Vortkamp et al. 1996). Nonetheless, *Ihh*-expressing cells grafted to the anterior margin of the early limb bud can mimic the effect of SHH, ectopically activating the polarizing region pathway and leading to digit duplications in the limb (Vortkamp et al. 1996). Recent work on the *Doublefoot* mouse mutation has attributed the severe digit duplications in the mutants to ectopic IHH signaling, which activates both *Ptc1* and *Ptc2* anteriorly in the limb buds (Yang et al. 1998).

*Bmp2* is expressed in a pattern that broadly overlaps the *Shh* domain in the limb bud (Francis et al. 1994; Riddle et al. 1993), and the ability of *Bmp2*-expressing cells to induce mild digit duplications suggests that it could, at least in part, mediate *Shh* signaling in the limb (Duprez et al. 1996). The inability of BMP2 on its own to induce a complete duplication of the digits could reflect a requirement for BMP heterodimerization, which seems to increase potency of BMP-signaling activity (Hazama et al. 1995). *Bmp2*-expressing cells are capable of activating *Fgf4* anteriorly in the apical ridge, which, together with the observation that *Bmp2*, *Bmp4* and *Bmp7* are expressed in limb mesenchyme and ectoderm, suggests that BMPs could play a

role in the feedback loop between limb bud mesenchyme and the apical ridge (Duprez et al. 1996; Francis-West et al. 1995).

### Hox genes in limb development

The signaling molecules described above confer positional identity onto cells in the limb, and set in motion the regionalized programs of differentiation which generate the limb pattern. Hox genes are key components in the interpretation of positional information during development (reviewed in Gellon and McGinnis 1998). These transcription factors are organized in four gene clusters, known as Hoxa–d, in most jawed vertebrates, although additional clusters have been found in bony fish (Prince et al. 1997). The multiple Hox clusters of vertebrates have arisen by duplication from an ancestral cluster during chordate evolution (reviewed in Aparicio 1998; Holland 1998), and these gene duplications have provided new genetic raw material for co-option into new developmental processes. In the limbs, genes located at the 5' end of the Hoxa and Hoxd clusters are expressed in dynamic patterns from the outset of budding (Dolle et al. 1989; Nelson et al. 1996; Yokouchi et al. 1991). *Hoxd9–13* are expressed in nested domains centered around the polarizing region in the posterior distal aspect of the bud. This pattern appears to be regulated by SHH from the polarizing region, together with FGF from the apical ridge. Ectopic activation of the polarizing region pathway by anterior application of polarizing region cells, retinoic acid, SHH, or *Bmp2*-expressing cells under the apical ridge induces a mirror image pattern of Hox expression in the limb, foreshadowing the mirror-image pattern of digit duplication (Duprez et al. 1996; Izpisua-Belmonte et al. 1991; Riddle et al. 1993). The pattern of Hox gene expression changes considerably during the course of limb development, and the dynamic pattern is broadly divisible into three phases; in phase 1, the *Hoxd* domains are spread across the distal limb, in phase 2 these domains become centered on the posterior distal limb and in phase 3 the posteriorly restricted domains spread anteriorly in the distal limb. Hoxa gene expression is also dynamic, with *Hoxa13* expression spreading into the anterior part of the distal limb during phase 3 (Nelson et al. 1996). This third phase of Hox expression correlates with specification of the digits (Nelson et al. 1996), which has interesting implications for our understanding of the origin of digits during the fin to limb transition in tetrapod evolution (discussed below).

Determining the function of Hox gene expression during limb patterning has been no easy task, but thanks to the highly detailed approach several laboratories have taken to study Hox gene regulation and the interactions of different Hox genes during development, a considerable body of information is now available (Davis et al. 1995; Dolle et al. 1993; Mortlock et al. 1996; van der Hoeven et al. 1996b; Zákány et al. 1997a). Two important ideas have shaped our understanding of Hox gene regulation. Temporal and spatial colinearity refer to the manner in which Hox genes are

**Table 1** Human mutations affecting limb development

Gene	Human abnormality	Effect on limbs	Reference
HOXD13	Type II syndactyly	Syndactyly (synostotic), polydactyly, meromelia, hemimelia	Goodman et al. 1997 Muragaki et al. 1996
HOXA13	Hand-foot-genital syndrome	Hemimelia/hypoplasia, syndactyly (synostotic), carpal fusion, delayed ossification	Mortlock and Innis 1996
GLI3	Greig cephalopolysyndactyly Pallister-Hall syndrome	Polydactyly, syndactyly Polydactyly	Kang et al. 1997 Vortkamp et al. 1991 Wild et al. 1997
LMX1B	Nail-patella syndrome	Meromelia, nail hypoplasia or dysplasia	Dreyer et al. 1998, Vollrath et al. 1998
CDMP1	Chondrodysplasia Grebe type	Brachydactyly, polydactyly, hemimelia, hypoplasia, aplasia	Thomas et al. 1997
MSX2	Autosomal dominant craniosynostosis	Brachydactyly, finger-like thumb	Jabs et al. 1993
SHOX	Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis	Meromelia, brachydactyly	Belin et al. 1998 Shears et al. 1998
SALL1	Townes-Brockes syndrome	Polydactyly, finger-like thumb	Kohlhase et al. 1998
TWIST	Saethre-Chotzen syndrome	Brachydactyly, syndactyly (soft tissue)	el Ghouzzi et al. 1997 Howard et al. 1997
TBX3	Ulnar-mammary syndrome	Meromelia, nail duplicated ventrally, hypoplasia, carpal fusion	Bamshad et al. 1997
TBX5	Holt-Oram syndrome	Ectrodactyly, finger-like thumb, meromelia	Basson et al. 1997 Li et al. 1997
FGFR1	Pfeiffer syndrome	Syndactyly (soft tissue), broad digit 1, brachydactyly	Muenke et al. 1994
FGFR2	Pfeiffer syndrome Apert syndrome Jackson-Weiss	As above Syndactyly (synostotic) Syndactyly (synostotic)	Meyers et al. 1996 Muenke et al. 1994 Wilkie et al. 1995
FGFR3	Achondroplasia Hypochondroplasia	Brachydactyly, hemimelia Milder form of the above	Bellus et al. 1995 Rousseau et al. 1994 Shiang et al. 1994)
SOX9	Campomelic dysplasia	Bowed long bones	Foster et al. 1994
ATPSK2	Spondyloepimetaphyseal dysplasia	Bowed long bones, hemimelia, brachydactyly, enlarged knee joints, joint degeneration (early onset)	Haque et al. 1998

expressed, with the former referring to the sequential manner of Hox gene expression, with 3' genes being expressed before their 5' neighbors, and the latter referring to the spatial distribution of these transcripts in the embryo, with 5' genes being expressed at more posterior positions than 3' genes (Duboule 1994). Colinearity can break down, such as the case during the late phase of Hox expression in limb development, and during amphibian limb regeneration (Gardiner et al. 1995; Nelson et al. 1996). Spatial and temporal colinearity are controlled by regulatory elements acting at three levels; some enhancers operate on a per-gene basis, other elements are shared between different Hox genes, whereas other "higher order" control elements can act on the entire complex (Gérard et al. 1996; van der Hoeven et al. 1996b; Zákány et al. 1997b). The precise timing of gene expression is as important as "on" and "off" decisions, and subtle alterations to the timing of gene expres-

sion (heterochronic changes) can induce severe morphological changes in the animal (Gérard et al. 1997). Precise regulation of Hox gene dosage is also important, as variation in the dose of Hox gene products can cause severe patterning defects (Horan et al. 1995; Zákány et al. 1997a). The discovery that such control elements are shared among distantly related vertebrates indicates that they are phylogenetically ancient (Beckers et al. 1996). Evolutionary conservation of Hox regulatory machinery has led to the idea that evolution of morphological changes in vertebrates may have been driven by very slight changes to the timing of Hox gene activation (Gérard et al. 1997; see below). Moreover, the discovery of tissue- and region-specific Hox enhancers (Beckers et al. 1996; Whiting et al. 1991) suggests that such changes can be confined to highly specific locations of the embryo to allow regionalized rather than wholesale modifications of the body.



## Evolution of tetrapod limbs

Paired lateral appendages, fins and limbs, are unique to jawed vertebrates and their immediate ancestry (reviewed in Coates and Cohn 1998). Tetrapod limbs evolved from paired fins of a fish-like ancestor during the Devonian, approximately 360 million years ago (Coates and Clack 1990). The key breakthrough in the fin to limb transition was elaboration of the distal limb skeleton to give rise to endoskeletal digits. The earliest evidence of tetrapod limbs complete with digits is found in Devonian specimens such as *Acanthostega*, *Ichthiostega* and *Tulerpeton*. These limbs display the basic skeletal arrangement of modern tetrapods, with discrete endoskeletal digits. An important difference, however, is the number of digits on each limb, which is greater than the highly conserved tetrapod pattern of five digits (Coates and Clack 1990). These discoveries overturned previous ideas that the ancestral pattern for tetrapod limb is pentadactyly (e.g., Jarvic 1980).

Comparative molecular studies of teleost fin and tetrapod limb development have uncovered striking conservation of the genetic control of pattern formation (Reifers et al. 1998; Sordino et al. 1995; Vandersea et al. 1998). While little is known about the molecular basis of fin formation in lobe-finned fishes or sharks, phylogenetically the most relevant taxa in the context of limb evolution, the teleost-tetrapod comparative studies strongly suggest that the earliest tetrapod limbs were patterned by the same primitive genetic network. Indeed, the genetic toolbox used in fin and limb development is far older than the earliest chordates, as invertebrate appendages from antennae to limbs to genitals are patterned by the same genetic circuit (for a review, see Shubin et al. 1997). Among the genes shown to be expressed in both teleost fins and tetrapod limbs are *Shh*, *Ptc1*, *Bmp4*, *Fgf8*, *Distal-less (Dlx)*, FGFRs, *Bmp2*, *AbdB*-related *Hoxa* and *Hoxd* (early phases of expression), *Hoxc6*, *Msx*, *En1*, and *Sall (spalt)* (Akimenko et al. 1994, 1995; Chin et al. 1997; Concordet et al. 1996; Hatta et al. 1991; Koster et al. 1997; Krauss et al. 1993; Laforest et al. 1998; Molven et al. 1990; Reifers et al. 1998; Sordino et al. 1995; Thisse et al. 1995; van der Hoeven et al. 1996a). These similarities between fins and limbs extend beyond simple presence or absence of gene expression, as their precise spatial relationships and the cellular interactions in early fin development bear striking resemblance to those found early in tetrapod limb development.

If fish fin and tetrapod limb development involves the same pattern-forming genes, how can such extreme morphological differences be achieved? The most striking difference between fin and limb endoskeletons is found distally. While both fins and limbs contain girdles and proximal bones (radials in fins and long bones in limbs) with clear anteroposterior and dorsoventral pattern, only tetrapod limbs have endoskeletal digits. The distal fin rays, or lepidotrichia, of bony fish are entirely dermal. It appears that patterning of the proximal elements is achieved by the same mechanisms in fish and tetrapods, but important differences in gene expression patterns occur later, when the distal

elements are laid down. For example, *Shh* expression in the posterior bud mesenchyme controls anteroposterior pattern of the tetrapod limb all the way to the digits, whereas in zebrafish, *Shh* expression in the fin buds diminishes after the radials are laid down, prior to ray formation (Laforest et al. 1998). *Shh* is then re-expressed in each fin ray, where it may play a role in scleroblast differentiation or matrix production (Laforest et al. 1998). The early loss of *Shh* expression in the posterior fin bud is associated with cessation of *Hoxa* and *Hoxd* expression. In contrast to the triphasic pattern of Hox expression seen in tetrapod limbs, in zebrafish fin buds these genes undergo only the early phases of expression (Sordino et al. 1995). The significance of this difference is that the third phase of Hox expression in tetrapod limbs, when expression domains move anteriorly across the distal aspect of the bud, is associated with digit development (Nelson et al. 1996). These observations led Duboule and co-workers to suggest that this tetrapod specialization may have evolved together with the autopod (wrist/ankle and digits; Sordino et al. 1995). Transgenic analyses in mice have identified an enhancer element in the vicinity of *Hoxd13* that is responsible for its distal limb expression (Herault et al. 1998; van der Hoeven et al. 1996b; Zákány and Duboule 1996). If distal expression of *Hoxd10–13* is controlled as a unit, under the influence of a single *cis*-acting regulatory element, then evolution of digits in the earliest tetrapods could have resulted from a surprisingly simple genetic innovation (van der Hoeven et al. 1996b). Another fascinating component of this work has linked development of limbs with external genitalia, providing an attractive developmental scenario by which tetrapod locomotion and internal fertilization could have co-evolved (Kondo et al. 1997). Both the genital bud and the digits are appendages with a posterior or distal identity, in that they develop at the terminus of the trunk and limbs, respectively. At a molecular level, 5' (posterior) members of the *Hoxa* and *Hoxd* clusters are expressed in the genital tubercle (which gives rise to the penis and clitoris) and distal limbs of mice. Compound loss of function mutations in *Hoxa13* and *Hoxd13* result in complete loss of digits and external genitalia (Kondo et al. 1997). Thus, formation of both organs requires posterior Hox gene expression, which may mediate cell proliferation and outgrowth. Moreover, expression of *Hoxd* genes in limbs and genitals is controlled by a single enhancer (Herault et al. 1998; van der Hoeven et al. 1996b). This raises the intriguing possibility that the origin of digits and external genital organs during tetrapod evolution may have resulted from the appearance of a single transcriptional regulator. Such a genetic innovation could have freed early tetrapods from an aquatic environment by providing the anatomical hardware necessary for terrestrial locomotion and internal fertilization (Kondo et al. 1997). This evolutionary linkage between limbs and genitals at a genetic level provides a contextual explanation for syndromes in which development of limbs and genitals is perturbed, as in hand-foot-genital syndrome (discussed below). Perhaps the most striking congruence between this molecular scenario and the fossil record comes from the finding that morphogenesis of the digits and penis is sensi-

tive to changes in Hox gene dosage. Recent work has shown that a quantitative decrease in the dose of *Hoxd11–13* reduces the length of the penian bone and digits, as well as the number of digits (Zákány et al. 1997a). This progressive reduction in digit number takes an interesting turn, however, in that the transition from five digits to complete lack of digits involves a step in which limbs are polydactylous. Considering this finding in light of the polydactylous nature of the earliest tetrapods (Coates and Clack 1990), Zákány et al. suggested that, during tetrapod evolution, successive activation of Hox gene expression in the distal limb may have taken the limb from complete lack of digits to the pentadactyl pattern via a polydactylous phase.

---

### **Congenital limb anomalies: linking malformations to molecules**

Progress in the molecular genetics of limb development has started to shed light on the genetic basis of naturally occurring limb malformations. The etiology of limb defects is complex, and includes mutations, environmental factors, chromosomal abnormalities and intrauterine accidents such as amniotic bands, which can amputate the limb by constriction (Ferretti and Tickle 1997). This discussion will be restricted to malformations resulting from mutations in developmental control genes. Malformation of the limbs occurs frequently, and the spectrum of such defects is large (Figs. 3, 4). Limb abnormalities are broadly divisible into three categories, reduction defects, duplication defects and dysplasias (Larsen 1997). Some mutations can result in a combination of defects, and thus it is worth outlining the major types of defect within each category before considering these compound limb malformations.

**Reduction defects:** The most extreme form of reduction defect is *amelia*, in which the entire limb is absent. Overall limb length may be shortened due to partial absence of the limb skeleton, *meromelia*, or stunting the development of long bones, termed *hemimelia* (Fig. 3F). Digital length can also be truncated both by shortening of the phalanges in *brachydactyly* and by deletion of digits, as is the case in both *ecrodactyly*, when one or more of the digits is absent (Fig. 3E,F), and *adactyly*, the complete absence of digits on a limb.

**Duplication defects:** Duplication of proximal elements in the limb is extremely rare, and even experimental manipulations of the embryo rarely result in extra proximal elements (Wolpert and Hornbruch 1987). In contrast, duplication of the digits, or *polydactyly*, is quite a common duplication defect (Figs. 3A,B, 4). Polydactyly is generally classified as either preaxial, when extra digits develop anteriorly (on the radial or tibial side of the limb; Fig. 3A,B), or postaxial, when extra digits develop posteriorly (on the ulnar or fibular side of the limb). Additional digits may be fully formed, complete with functional tendons and nerves, or poorly formed, appearing as only a skin tag in the mildest cases. Additional digits usually develop with respect to the anteroposterior polarity of the bud, such that formation

of an ectopic polarizing region anteriorly results in a mirror-image pattern of extra digits, with the most posterior digits, digit 5, appearing at the anterior and posterior margins of the hand or foot.

**Dysplasia defects:** This class of defects may be thought of as defects in the cellular differentiation program, as opposed to defects in the specification of limb pattern as in polydactyly, although affected limbs may exhibit both classes of defects. Dysplasias often result in reduction defects such as *hemimelia* and *brachydactyly*, which are caused by deficient cell proliferation (*hypoplasia* or *aplasia*) during bone growth (Fig. 3D). Other dysplasias include *syndactyly*, in which digits are joined by soft tissue between the digits failing to break down, resulting in webbed digits, and *synostosis*, in which the bones themselves are fused (Fig. 3C). Clinically, syndactyly is subdivided into types I–V, with the five subclasses displaying varying degrees of soft tissue and bony fusions (Bergsma 1979). For example, in type II syndactyly (synpolydactyly), the hand exhibits fusion of digits 3 and 4 together with duplication of the fourth finger and fifth toe, whereas in type V syndactyly, metacarpals and metatarsals are also fused (Bergsma 1979). Soft tissue webbing is the result of a failure of programmed cell death between the digits, which normally functions to separate the digits of the hand plate after the entire skeleton has been laid down. Dysplasias may result in skeletal size or shape changes, or in deficiency defects by premature cessation of the bone growth program.

Mutational analyses in mice have identified a large number of genes which can generate limb malformations from each of the above classes (for reviews see Ferretti and Tickle 1997; Niswander 1997). These advances have recently begun to yield results in humans, with naturally occurring human mutations being identified at the molecular level (Table 1). Because many of these genes have been studied for years in the laboratory, there is a considerable amount known about the cell and molecular biology of these mutations by the time they are identified in humans. Perhaps the best example of this is HOX gene mutations. Type II syndactyly, or synpolydactyly, is caused by a mutation in the *HOXD13* gene. The mutation causes an expansion of the polyalanine stretch in the amino-terminal region of the peptide, which may interfere with DNA binding or interaction of HOXD13 with other Hox proteins (Muragaki et al. 1996). A total loss of function mutation has been generated in mice (Dolle et al. 1993), and while this does not phenocopy human synpolydactyly, some aspects of the phenotype are shared. In particular, there appears to be a common defect in the length of the digits, consistent with the role of Hox genes in controlling growth and proliferation. Elimination of the *Hoxd11–13* gene products in mice results in a phenotype closely resembling human synpolydactyly, suggesting that the human condition could involve functional suppression of other HOXD genes (Zákány and Duboule 1996). The human hand-foot-genital mutation and the mouse hypodactyly mutation are both caused by mutations in *Hoxa13* (Mortlock and Innis 1997; Mortlock et al. 1996). Hypodactyly mutants have more severe reduction defects distally, and cellular analysis has shown that this defect in-

volves increased cell death in the distal limb and a cell-autonomous defect affecting mesenchymal cell behavior and cartilage differentiation (Robertson et al. 1996). Members of the Hedgehog pathway have also been implicated in congenital malformations affecting the limbs. *Gli3* is a zinc finger gene which is negatively regulated by *Shh*. Mutations in the *Gli3* gene are found in humans with Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome and Pallister-Hall syndrome, both of which involve polysyndactyly (Kang et al. 1997; Vortkamp et al. 1991; Wild et al. 1997). Mouse *extra-toes* mutants, which are also characterized by polysyndactyly, have deletion mutations within the *Gli3* gene (Hui and Joyner 1993). Townes-Brockes syndrome is caused by a mutation in *SALL1*, a zinc finger gene homologous to the *spalt (sal)* genes of *Drosophila*, mouse, frog and fish, which may be positively regulated by hedgehog signaling (Kohlhase et al. 1998; Koster et al. 1997). Although the function of *SALL/sal* in the SHH pathway is unclear, it is nonetheless intriguing that patients develop pre-axial polydactyly in Townes-Brockes syndrome, given that the mutation is thought to result in loss of function (Kohlhase et al. 1998). In Holt-Oram syndrome, which is caused by a mutation in *TBX5* (Basson et al. 1997; Li et al. 1997), limb defects are restricted to the forelimbs, which is consistent with observations that *Tbx5* is expressed in forelimb, but not hindlimb, buds of chicks and mice (described above). Mutations in *TBX3* cause ulnar mammary syndrome, which involves mild to severe reduction defects in the forelimbs (Bamshad et al. 1997). Restriction of the limb phenotype to forelimbs is somewhat puzzling in light of the observation that, during development, *Tbx3* is expressed in a similar pattern in both forelimb and hindlimb buds (Gibson-Brown et al. 1996, 1998; Isaac et al. 1998). Dorsoven-tral patterning defects of the limbs are less common than anteroposterior and proximodistal defects. Absence of the patellae and hypoplasia of the nails in human nail-patella syndrome may be interpreted as precisely such a defect, as it is the dorsal limb structures that are affected. It is therefore satisfying that mutations in the *LMX1B* gene, known to be involved in specification of dorsal structures in chicks and mice, have now been identified as the cause of nail-patella syndrome in humans (Dreyer et al. 1998; Vollrath et al. 1998). The numerous limb dysplasia syndromes caused by mutations in FGF receptors (FGFRs) implicated these genes in later phases of limb development, during growth and differentiation (Table 1) (Wilkie et al. 1995). Premature closure of the cranial sutures and epiphyses of the limb are a common feature of most of these syndromes (Fig. 3D), which points to a key role of FGFRs in maintaining cell proliferation or inhibiting differentiation during skeletal growth. Similar growth defects were observed in the limbs of transgenic mice overexpressing FGF2 (Lightfoot et al. 1997). The gap in our understanding of FGF function during these late events in limb development highlights the role of natural mutations in identifying future areas of investigation for developmental biology.

Another poorly understood area of limb development is the relationship between skeletal morphogenesis and epigenetic events such as mechanical loading. The potential of

bone cells and their precursors to assess and respond to mechanical stresses to remodel the skeleton has long been known to skeletal biologists and orthopedists (Lanyon 1987). Remodeling occurs in utero and in adults as a response to extrinsic mechanical forces (McLeod et al. 1998). Fracture repair in adults and joint formation during development are also influenced by the loading regime of the skeleton. Until recently, however, precisely how these extrinsic forces are translated into biochemical signals has been unknown. Work by Takahashi et al. (1998) has shown that even prechondrogenic mesenchyme cells can respond to compressive loading by increasing cartilage matrix production, and this is mediated by activation of the *Sox9* pathway. *Sox9* is expressed in developing limb buds (and numerous other tissues; Ng et al. 1997), and directly activates transcription of type II collagen, which produces the major cartilage matrix protein (Bell et al. 1997). Thus, *SOX9* is involved initially in development of the skeleton and later in the remodeling response to mechanical loading. Similarly, *Indian Hedgehog* and *PThrP*, which participate in a feedback loop that mediates the rate of endochondral ossification during skeletal development, are also expressed postnatally during bone growth and fracture repair (Vortkamp et al. 1998). While the role of this signaling network in these later events is unclear at present, it is tempting to speculate that its initial activation during development may be controlled by a hard-wired genetic program, and re-expression during bone repair may be catalyzed by mechanical stimuli. Further work on the molecular bridge between mechanical loading and cell behavior should help integrate our understanding of pattern formation with skeletal biology, dysmorphogenesis and evolution.

---

## Conclusions

While developmental biology has provided enormous groundwork for clinical genetics by identifying candidate genes for congenital malformations and uncovering their signaling pathways and functions, it is now possible to reverse direction and use new clinical genetic discoveries to tackle developmental questions. Availability of novel human mutations for generation of transgenic mice and transfection studies in vivo and in vitro should provide new insights into the potential for development and evolution. Similarly, the fossil record not only provides a phylogenetic context for interpretation of phenotypes and demonstrates the morphological potential of pattern formation, but also, and perhaps most importantly, sets questions for the future. Much of the morphological detail of vertebrate skeletons is due to load-induced remodeling, which allows continuous fine-tuning of the skeleton. In a phylogenetic context, this point is paramount, as distinguishing skeletal traits which arise as a consequence of genetic change from those which have arisen as a remodeling response to, say, locomotor pattern, will have dramatic consequences for our view of evolution. Understanding how gene expression, cell behavior and environment interact to generate morphological pattern in the limb is the next frontier.

**Acknowledgements** This paper is dedicated to the memory of Peter Thorogood, whose passion and foresight remain an inspiration. We are grateful to Cheryll Tickle for support and discussion, Ketan Patel for critical reading of the manuscript and for supplying Fig. 1C, and the Royal Berkshire Hospital Museum of Radiology for access to the collection.

## References

- Akimenko MA, Ekker M, Wegner J, Lin W, Westerfield M (1994) Combinatorial expression of three zebrafish genes related to distal-less: part of a homeobox gene code for the head. *J Neurosci* 14:3475–3486
- Akimenko A-M, Johnson S, Westerfield M, Ekker M (1995) Differential induction of four *msx* homeobox genes during fin development and regeneration in zebrafish. *Development* 121:347–357
- Altabef M, Clarke JDW, Tickle C (1997) Dorsal-ventral ectodermal compartments and origin of apical ectodermal ridge in developing chick limb. *Development* 124:4547–4546
- Aparicio S (1998) Exploding vertebrate genomes. *Nat Genet* 18:301–303
- Bamshad M, Lin RC, Law DJ, Watkins WC, Krakowiak PA, Moore ME, Franceschini P, Lala R, Holmes LB, Gebhur TC, Bruneau BG, Schinzel A, Seidman JG, Seidman CE, Jorde LB (1997) Mutations in human *TBX3* alter limb, apocrine and genital development in ulnar-mammary syndrome. *Nature Genet* 16:311–315
- Basson CT, Bachinsky DR, Lin RC, Levi T, Elkins JA, Soultis J, Grayzel D, Kroumpouzou E, Traill TA, Leblanc-Straceski J, Renault B, Kucherlapati R, Seidman JG, Seidman CE (1997) Mutations in human *TBX5* cause limb and cardiac malformation in Holt-Oram syndrome. *Nat Genet* 15:30–35
- Beckers J, Gerard M, Duboule D (1996) Transgenic analysis of a potential *Hoxd-11* limb regulatory element present in tetrapods and fish. *Dev Biol* 180:543–553
- Belin V, Cusin V, Viot G, Girlich D, Toutain A, Moncla A, Vekemans M, Le Merrer M, Munnich A, Cormier-Daire V (1998) *SHOX* mutations in dyschondrosteosis (Leri-Weill syndrome). *Nat Genet* 19:67–69
- Bell DM, Leung KK, Wheatley SC, Ng LJ, Zhou S, Ling KW, Sham MH, Koopman P, Tam PP, Cheah KS (1997) *SOX9* directly regulates the type-II collagen gene. *Nat Genet* 16:174–178
- Bellus GA, McIntosh I, Smith EA, Aylsworth AS, Kaitila I, Horton WA, Greenhaw GA, Hecht JT, Francomano CA (1995) A recurrent mutation in the tyrosine kinase domain of fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 causes hypochondroplasia. *Nat Genet* 10:357–359
- Bergsma D (1979) Birth defects compendium, 2nd edn. Alan R. Liss, New York
- Bushdid PB, Brantley DM, Yull FE, Blaueur GL, Hoffman LH, Niswander L, Kerr LD (1998) Inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B activity results in disruption of the apical ectodermal ridge and aberrant limb morphogenesis. *Nature* 392:615–618
- Caré A, Silvani A, Meccia E, Mattia G, Stoppacciaro A, Parmiani G, Peschle C, Colombo MP (1996) *HOXB7* constitutively activates basic fibroblast growth factor in melanomas. *Mol Cell Biol* 16:4842–4851
- Carrington JL, Fallon JF (1986) Experimental manipulation leading to induction of dorsal ectodermal ridges on normal limb buds results in a phenocopy of the *Eudiplopodia* chick mutant. *Dev Biol* 116:130–137
- Charité J, de Graaff W, Shen S, Deschamps J (1994) Ectopic expression of *Hoxb-8* causes duplication of the ZPA in the forelimb and homeotic transformation of axial structures. *Cell* 78:589–601
- Chen F, Capecchi MR (1997) Targeted mutations in *Hoxa-9* and *Hoxb-9* reveal synergistic interactions. *Dev Biol* 181:186–196
- Chen H, Lun Y, Ovchinnikov D, Kokubo H, Oberg KC, Pepicelli CV, Gan L, Lee B, Johnson RL (1998) Limb and kidney defects in *Lmx1b* mutant mice suggest an involvement of *LMX1B* in human nail patella syndrome. *Nat Genet* 19:51–55
- Chiang C, Litingtung Y, Lee E, Young KE, Corden JL, Westphal H, Beachy PA (1996) Cyclopia and defective axial patterning in mice lacking *Sonic hedgehog* gene function. *Nature* 383:407–413
- Chin AJ, Chen J-N, Weinberg ES (1997) *Bone morphogenetic protein-4* expression characterizes inductive boundaries in organs of developing zebrafish. *Dev Genes Evol* 207:107–114
- Coates MI, Clack JA (1990) Polydactyly in the earliest tetrapod limbs. *Nature* 347:66–69
- Coates MI, Cohn MJ (1998) Fins, limbs and tails: outgrowth and patterning in vertebrate evolution. *Bioessays* 20:371–381
- Cohn MJ, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Abud H, Heath JK, Tickle C (1995) Fibroblast growth factors induce additional limb development from the flank of chick embryos. *Cell* 80:739–746
- Cohn MJ, Patel K, Krumlauf R, Wilkinson DG, Clarke JDW, Tickle C (1997) *Hox9* genes and vertebrate limb specification. *Nature* 387:97–101
- Concordet J-P, Lewis KE, Moore JW, Goodrich LV, Johnson RL, Scott MP, Ingham PW (1996) Spatial regulation of a zebrafish *patched* homologue reflects the roles of *sonic hedgehog* and protein kinase A in neural tube and somite patterning. *Development* 122:2385–2846
- Crossley PH, Martin GR (1995) The mouse *Fgf8* gene encodes a family of polypeptides and is expressed in regions that direct outgrowth and patterning in the developing embryo. *Development* 121:439–451
- Crossley PH, Minowada G, MacArthur CA, Martin GR (1996) Roles for FGF8 in the induction, initiation, and maintenance of chick limb development. *Cell* 84:127–136
- Cygan JA, Johnson RL, McMahon AP (1997) Novel regulatory interactions revealed by studies of murine limb pattern in *Wnt-7a* and *En-1* mutants. *Development* 124:5021–5032
- Davidson DR, Crawley A, Hill RE, Tickle C (1991) Position-dependent expression of two related homeobox genes in developing vertebrate limbs. *Nature* 352:429–431
- Davis AP, Witte DP, Li Hsieh H, Potter SS, Capecchi MR (1995) Absence of radius and ulna in mice lacking *Hoxa-11* and *Hoxd-11*. *Nature* 375:791–795
- Dolle P, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Falkenstein H, Renucci A, Duboule D (1989) Coordinate expression of the murine *Hox-5* complex homeobox-containing genes during limb pattern formation. *Nature* 342:767–772
- Dolle P, Dierich A, LeMeur M, Schimmang T, Schuhbauer B, Chambon P, Duboule D (1993) Disruption of the *Hoxd-13* gene induces localized heterochrony leading to mice with neotenic limbs. *Cell* 75:431–441
- Dreyer SD, Zhou G, Baldini A, Winterpacht A, Zabel B, Cole W, Johnson RL, Lee B (1998) Mutations in *LMX1B* cause abnormal skeletal patterning and renal dysplasia in nail patella syndrome. *Nat Genet* 19:47–50
- Duboule D (1994) Temporal colinearity and the phylotypic progression: a basis for the stability of a vertebrate Bauplan and the evolution of morphologies through heterochrony. *Dev Suppl* 1994:135–142
- Duprez DM, Kostakopoulou K, Francis-West P, Tickle C, Brickell PM (1996) Activation of *Fgf-4* and *HoxD* gene expression by *BMP-2* expressing cells in the developing chick limb. *Development* 122:1821–1828
- Durand B, Gao F-B, Raff M (1997) Accumulation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor *p27/kip1* and the timing of oligodendrocyte differentiation. *Embo J* 16:306–317
- el Ghouzzi V, Le Merrer M, Perrin-Schmitt F, Lajeunie E, Benit P, Renier D, Bourgeois P, Bolcato-Bellemin AL, Munnich A, Bonaventure J (1997) Mutations of the *TWIST* gene in the Saethre-Chotzen syndrome. *Nat Genet* 15:42–46
- Fallon JF, Lopez-Martinez A, Ros MA, Savage MP, Olwin BB, Simandl BK (1994) FGF-2: apical ectodermal ridge growth signal for chick limb development. *Science* 264:104–107
- Ferretti P, Tickle C (1997) The limbs. In: Thorogood P (ed) *Embryos, genes and birth defects*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp 101–132
- Foster JW, Dominguez-Steglich MA, Guioli S, Kowk G, Weller PA, Stevanovic M, Weissenbach J, Mansour S, Young ID, Goodfellow PN, et al. (1994) Campomelic dysplasia and autosomal sex reversal caused by mutations in an *SRY*-related gene. *Nature* 372:525–530
- Francis PH, Richardson MK, Brickell PM, Tickle C (1994) Bone morphogenetic proteins and a signalling pathway that controls patterning in the developing chick limb. *Development* 120:209–218

- Francis-West P, Robertson KE, Ede DA, Rodriguez C, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Houston B, Burt DW, Gribbin C, Brickell PM, Tickle C (1995) Expression of genes encoding bone morphogenetic proteins and sonic hedgehog in talpid (ta3) limb buds: their relationships in the signalling cascade involved in limb patterning. *Dev Dyn* 203:187–197
- Fromental-Ramain C, Warot X, Lakkaraju S, Favier B, Haack H, Birling C, Dierich A, Dolle P, Chambon P (1996) Specific and redundant functions of the paralogous *Hoxa-9* and *Hoxd-9* genes in forelimb and axial skeleton patterning. *Development* 122:461–472
- Gardiner DM, Blumberg B, Komine Y, Bryant SV (1995) Regulation of HoxA expression in developing and regenerating axolotl limbs. *Development* 121:1731–1741
- Geduspan JS, MacCabe JA (1989) Transfer of dorsoventral information from mesoderm to ectoderm at the onset of limb development. *Anat Rec* 224:79–87
- Gellon G, McGinnis W (1998) Shaping animal body plans in development and evolution by modulation of Hox expression patterns. *Bioessays* 20:116–125
- Gérard M, Chen JY, Gronemeyer H, Chambon P, Duboule D, Zákány J (1996) In vivo targeted mutagenesis of a regulatory element required for positioning the Hoxd-11 and Hoxd-10 expression boundaries. *Genes Dev* 10:2326–2334
- Gérard M, Zákány J, Duboule D (1997) Interspecies exchange of a Hoxd enhancer in vivo induces premature transcription and anterior shift of the sacrum. *Dev Biol* 190:32–40
- Gibson-Brown JJ, Agulnik SI, Chapman DL, Alexiou M, Garvey N, Silver LM, Papaioannou VE (1996) Evidence of a role for T-Box genes in the evolution of limb morphogenesis and specification of forelimb/hindlimb identity. *Mech Dev* 56:93–101
- Gibson-Brown JJ, Agulnik SI, Silver LM, Niswander L, Papaioannou VE (1998) Involvement of T-box genes Tbx2-Tbx5 in vertebrate limb specification and development. *Development* 125:2499–2509
- Goodman FR, Mundlos S, Muragaki Y, Donnai D, Giovannucci-Uzelli ML, Lapi E, Majewski F, McGaughan J, McKeown C, Reardon W, Upton J, Winter RM, Olsen BR, Scambler PJ (1997) Synpolydactyly phenotypes correlate with size of expansions in HOXD13 polyalanine tract. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 94:7458–7463
- Hammerschmidt M, Brook A, McMahon AP (1997) The world according to hedgehog. *Trends Genet* 13:14–21
- Harrison RG (1969) Organization and development of the embryo. Yale University Press, New Haven
- Haque MFU, King LM, Krakow D, Kantor RM, Rusiniak ME, Swank RT, Superti-Furga A, Haque S, Abbas H, Ahmad W, Ahmad M, Cohn DH (1998) Mutations in orthologous genes in human spondyloepimetaphyseal dysplasia and the brachymorphic mouse. *Nat Genet* 20:157–162
- Hatta K, Bremiler R, Westerfield M, Kimmel C (1991) Diversity of expression of *engrailed*-like antigens in zebrafish. *Development* 112:821–832
- Hazama M, Aono A, Ueno N, Fujisawa Y (1995) Efficient expression of a heterodimer of bone morphogenetic protein subunits using a baculovirus expression system. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 209:859–866
- Heikinheimo M, Lawshe A, Shackleford GM, Wilson DB, MacArthur CA (1994) Fgf-8 expression in the post-gastrulation mouse suggests roles in the development of the face, limbs and central nervous system. *Mech Dev* 48:129–138
- Herauld Y, Beckers J, Kondo T, Fraudeau N, Duboule D (1998) Genetic analysis of a Hoxd-12 regulatory element reveals global versus local modes of control in the HoxD complex. *Development* 125:1669–1677
- Hinchliffe JR, Johnson DR (1980) The development of the vertebrate limb: an approach through experiment, genetics and evolution. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Holland PWH (1998) Something fishy about Hox genes. *Curr Biol* 7:570–572
- Horan GSB, Ramírez-Solis R, Featherstone MS, Wolgemuth DJ, Bradley A, Behringer RR (1995) Compound mutants for the paralogous *Hoxa-4*, *Hoxb-4* and *Hoxd-4* genes show more complete homeotic transformations and a dose-dependent increase in the number of vertebrae transformed. *Genes Dev* 9:1667–1677
- Howard TD, Paznekas WA, Green ED, Chiang LC, Ma N, Ortiz de Luna RI, Garcia Delgado C, Gonzalez-Ramos M, Kline AD, Jabs EW (1997) Mutations in TWIST, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor, in Saethre-Chotzen syndrome. *Nat Genet* 15:36–41
- Hui CC, Joyner AL (1993) A mouse model of Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome: the extra-toesJ mutation contains an intragenic deletion of the Gli3 gene. *Nat Genet* 3:241–246
- Isaac A, Rodriguez-Esteban C, Ryan A, Altabef M, Tsukui T, Patel K, Tickle C, Izpisua-Belmonte JC (1998) Tbx genes and limb identity in chick embryo development. *Development* 125:1867–1875
- Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Tickle C, Dolle P, Wolpert L, Duboule D (1991) Expression of the homeobox Hox-4 genes and the specification of position in chick wing development. *Nature* 350:585–589
- Jabs EW, Muller U, Li X, Ma L, Luo W, Haworth IS, Klisak I, Sparkes R, Warman ML, Mulliken JB, et al. (1993) A mutation in the homeodomain of the human MSX2 gene in a family affected with autosomal dominant craniosynostosis. *Cell* 75:443–450
- Jarvic E (1980) Basic structure and evolution of vertebrates. Academic Press, London
- Kanegae Y, Tavares AT, Izpisua Belmonte JC, Verma IM (1998) Role of Rel/NF-kappaB transcription factors during the outgrowth of the vertebrate limb. *Nature* 392:611–614
- Kang S, Graham JM Jr, Olney AH, Biesecker LG (1997) GLI3 frameshift mutations cause autosomal dominant Pallister-Hall syndrome. *Nat Genet* 15:266–268
- Kengaku M, Capdevila J, Rodriguez-Esteban C, De La Pena J, Johnson RL, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Tabin CJ (1998) Distinct WNT pathways regulating AER formation and dorsoventral polarity in the chick limb bud. *Science* 280:1247–1277
- Kohlhase J, Wischermann A, Reichenbach H, Froster U, Engel W (1998) Mutations in the SALL1 putative transcription factor gene cause Townes-Brocks syndrome. *Nat Genet* 18:81–83
- Kondo T, Zákány J, Innis JW, Duboule D (1997) Of fingers, toes and penises. *Nature* 390:29
- Koster R, Stick R, Loosli F, Wittbrodt J (1997) Medaka *spalt* acts as a target gene of *hedgehog signaling*. *Development* 124:3147–3156
- Krauss S, Concordet JP, Ingham PW (1993) A functionally conserved homolog of the *Drosophila* segment polarity gene hh is expressed in tissues with polarizing activity in zebrafish embryos. *Cell* 75:1431–1444
- Laforest L, Brown CW, Poleo G, Géraudie J, Tada M, Ekker M, Akimenko M-A (1998) Involvement of the *Sonic Hedgehog*, *patched1* and *bmp2* genes in patterning of the zebrafish dermal fin rays. *Development* 125:4175–4184
- Lanyon LE (1987) Functional strain in bone tissue as an objective and controlling stimulus for adaptive bone remodelling. *J Biomechanics* 20:1083–1093
- Larsen WJ (1997) Human embryology. Churchill Livingstone, New York
- Laufer E, Nelson CE, Johnson RL, Morgan BA, Tabin C (1994) Sonic hedgehog and Fgf-4 act through a signaling cascade and feedback loop to integrate growth and patterning of the developing limb bud. *Cell* 79:993–1003
- Laufer E, Dahn R, Orozco OE, Yeo CY, Pisenti J, Henrique D, Abbott UK, Fallon JF, Tabin C (1997) Expression of *Radical fringe* in limb-bud ectoderm regulates apical ectodermal ridge formation. *Nature* 386:366–373
- Li QY, Newbury-Ecob RA, Terret JA, Wilson DI, Curtis AR, Yi CH, Gebuhr T, Bullen PJ, Robson SC, Strachan T, Bonnet D, Lyonnet S, Young ID, Raeburn JA, Buckler AJ, Law DJ, Brook JD (1997) Holt-Oram syndrome is caused by mutations in TBX5, a member of the Brachyury (T) family. *Nature Genet* 15:21–29
- Lightfoot PS, Swisher R, Coffin JD, Doetschman TC, German RZ (1997) Ontogenetic limb bone scaling in basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF-2) transgenic mice. *Growth Dev Aging* 61:127–139
- Logan C, Hornbruch A, Campbell I, Lumsden A (1997) The role of *Engrailed* in establishing the dorsoventral axis of the chick limb. *Development* 124:2317–2324
- Logan M, Simon HG, Tabin C (1998) Differential regulation of T-box and homeobox transcription factors suggests roles in controlling chick limb-type identity. *Development* 125: 2825–2835
- Loomis CA, Harris E, Michaud J, Wurst W, Hanks M, Joiner A (1996) The mouse *Engrailed-1* gene and ventral limb patterning. *Nature* 382:360–363

- Lu HC, Revelli JP, Goering L, Thaller C, Eichele G (1997) Retinoid signaling is required for the establishment of a ZPA and for the expression of Hoxb-8, a mediator of ZPA formation. *Development* 124:1643–1651
- MacCabe JA, Errick J, Saunders JW (1974) Ectodermal control of the dorsoventral axis in the leg bud of the chick embryo. *Dev Biol* 39:69–82
- Maden M, Sonneveld E, van der Saag PT, Gale E (1998) The distribution of endogenous retinoic acid in the chick embryo: implications for developmental mechanisms. *Development* 125:4133–4144
- Mahmood R, Bresnick J, Hornbruch A, Mahony C, Morton N, Colquhoun K, Martin P, Lumsden A, Dickson C, Mason I (1995) A role for FGF-8 in the initiation and maintenance of vertebrate limb bud outgrowth. *Curr Biol* 5:797–806
- Marigo V, Davey RA, Zuo Y, Cunningham JM, Tabin CJ (1996a) Biochemical evidence that patched is the Hedgehog receptor. *Nature* 384:176–179
- Marigo V, Scott MP, Johnson RL, Goodrich LV, Tabin CJ (1996b) Conservation in hedgehog signaling: induction of a chicken patched homolog by Sonic hedgehog in the developing limb. *Development* 122:1225–1233
- Marti E, Takada R, Bumcrot DA, Sasaki H, McMahon AP (1995) Distribution of Sonic hedgehog peptides in the developing chick and mouse embryo. *Development* 121:2537–2547
- McLeod KJ, Rubin CT, Otter MW, Qin YX (1998) Skeletal cell stresses and bone adaptation. *Am J Med Sci* 316:176–183
- McNeil PL (1993) Cellular and molecular adaptations to injurious mechanical stress. *Trends in Cell Biology* 3:302–307
- Meinhardt H (1983) Cell determination boundaries as organizing regions for secondary embryonic fields. *Dev Biol* 96:375–385
- Meyers GA, Day D, Goldberg R, Daentl DL, Przylepa KA, Abrams LJ, Graham JM Jr, Feingold M, Moeschler JB, Rawnsley E, Scott AF, Jabs EW (1996) FGFR2 exon IIIa and IIIc mutations in Crouzon, Jackson-Weiss, Pfeiffer syndromes: evidence for missense changes, insertions, and a deletion due to alternative RNA splicing. *Am J Hum Genet* 58:491–498
- Michaud JL, Lapointe F, Le Douarin N (1997) The dorsoventral polarity of the presumptive limb is determined by signals produced by the somites and by the lateral somatopleure. *Development* 124:1453–1463
- Molven A, Wright CVE, BreMiller R, De Robertis E, Kimmel C (1990) Expression of a homeobox gene product in normal and mutant zebrafish embryos: evolution of the tetrapod body plan. *Development* 109:279–288
- Mortlock DP, Innis JW (1997) Mutation of HOXA13 in hand-foot-genital syndrome. *Nat Genet* 156:179–180
- Mortlock DP, Post LC, Innis JW (1996) The molecular basis of hypodactyly (Hd): a deletion in Hoxa 13 leads to arrest of digital arch formation. *Nat Genet* 13:284–289
- Motoyama J, Takabatake T, Takeshima K, Hui C (1998) Ptch2, a second mouse Patched gene is co-expressed with Sonic hedgehog. *Nat Genet* 18:104–106
- Muenke M, Schell U, Hehr A, Robin NH, Losken HW, Schinzel A, Pulleyn LJ, Rutland P, Reardon W, Malcolm S, et al. (1994) A common mutation in the fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 gene in Pfeiffer syndrome. *Nat Genet* 8:269–274
- Muragaki Y, Mundlos S, Upton J, Olsen BR (1996) Altered growth and branching patterns in synpolydactyly caused by mutations in HOXD13. *Science* 272:548–551
- Nelson CE, Morgan BA, Burke AC, Laufer E, DiMambro E, Murtaugh LC, Gonzales E, Tessarollo L, Parada LF, Tabin C (1996) Analysis of Hox gene expression in the chick limb bud. *Development* 122:1449–1466
- Ng LJ, Wheatley S, Muscat GE, Conway-Capmbell J, Bowles J, Wright E, Bell DM, Tam PP, Cheah KS, Koopman P (1997) SOX9 binds DNA, activates transcription, and coexpresses with type II collagen during chondrogenesis in the mouse. *Dev Biol* 183:108–121
- Niswander L (1997) Limb mutants: what can they tell us about normal limb development? *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 7:530–536
- Niswander L, Martin GR (1992) Fgf-4 expression during gastrulation, myogenesis, limb and tooth development in the mouse. *Development* 114:755–768
- Niswander L, Martin GR (1993) FGF-4 regulates expression of Evx-1 in the developing mouse limb. *Development* 119:287–294
- Niswander L, Tickle C, Vogel A, Booth I, Martin GR (1993) FGF-4 replaces the apical ectodermal ridge and directs outgrowth and patterning of the limb. *Cell* 75:579–587
- Ohuchi H, Nakagawa T, Yamauchi M, Ohata T, Yoshioka H, Kuwana T, Mima T, Mikawa T, Nohno T, Noji S (1995) An additional limb can be induced from the flank of the chick embryo by FGF4. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 209:809–816
- Ohuchi H, Nakagawa T, Yamamoto A, Araga A, Ohata T, Ishimaru Y, Yoshioka H, Kuwana T, Nohno T, Yamasaki M, Itoh N, Noji S (1997) The mesenchymal factor, FGF10, initiates and maintains the outgrowth of the chick limb bud through interaction with FGF8, an apical ectodermal factor. *Development* 124:2235–2244
- Ohuchi H, Takeuchi J, Yoshioka H, Ishimaru Y, Ogura K, Takahashi N, Ogura T, Noji S (1998) Correlation of wing-leg identity in ectopic FGF-induced chimeric limbs with the differential expression of chick Tbx5 and Tbx4. *Development* 125:51–60
- Parr BA, McMahon AP (1995) Dorsalizing signal Wnt-7a required for normal polarity of D-V and A-P axes of mouse limb. *Nature* 374:350–353
- Parr BA, Shea MJ, Vassileva G, McMahon AP (1993) Mouse Wnt genes exhibit discrete domains of expression in the early embryonic CNS and limb buds. *Development* 119:247–261
- Patel K, Nittenberg R, D'Souza D, Irving C, Burt D, Wilkinson DG, Tickle C (1996) Expression and regulation of Cck-8, a cell to cell signalling receptor in developing chick limb buds. *Development* 122:1147–1155
- Porter JA, Young KE, Beachy PA (1996) Cholesterol modification of hedgehog signaling proteins in animal development. *Science* 274:255–259
- Prince VE, Joly L, Ekker M, Ho RK (1998) Zebrafish *Hox* genes: genomic organization and modified colinear expression of trunk genes. *Development* 125:407–420
- Rancourt DE, Tsuzuki T, Capecchi MR (1995) Genetic interaction between Hoxb-5 and Hoxb-6 is revealed by nonallelic noncomplementation. *Genes Dev* 9:108–122
- Reifers F, Bohlh H, Walsh EC, Crossley PH, Stainer DYR, Brand M (1998) *Fgf8* is mutated in zebrafish *acerebellar (ace)* mutants and is required for maintenance of midbrain-hindbrain boundary development and somitogenesis. *Development* 125:2381–2395
- Riddle RD, Johnson RL, Laufer E, Tabin C (1993) Sonic hedgehog mediates the polarizing activity of the ZPA. *Cell* 75:1401–1416
- Riddle RD, Ensin M, Nelson C, Tsuchida T, Jessell TM, Tabin C (1995) Induction of LIM homeobox gene *Lmx-1* by WNT7a establishes dorsoventral pattern in the vertebrate limb. *Cell* 83:631–640
- Robertson KE, Chapman MH, Adams A, Tickle C, Darling SM (1996) Cellular analysis of limb development in the mouse mutant hypodactyly. *Dev Genet* 19:9–25
- Rodriguez-Esteban C, Schwabe JWR, De La Pena J, Foy B, Eshelman B, Izpisua-Belmonte JC (1997) *Radical fringe* positions the apical ectodermal ridge at the dorsoventral boundary of the vertebrate limb. *Nature* 386:360–366
- Rodriguez-Esteban C, Schwabe JWR, De La Pena J, Rincon-Limas DE, Magallon J, Botas J, Izpisua-Belmonte JC (1998) Lhx2, a vertebrate homolog of *apterous*, regulates vertebrate limb outgrowth. *Development* 125:3925–3934
- Ros MA, Sefton M, Nieto MA (1997) Slug, a zinc finger gene previously implicated in the early patterning of the mesoderm and the neural crest, is also involved in chick limb development. *Development* 124:1821–1829
- Rousseau F, Bonneventure J, Legeai-Mallet L, Pelet A, Rozet JM, Maroteaux P, Le Merrer M, Munnich A (1994) Mutations in the gene encoding fibroblast growth factor-3 in achondroplasia. *Nature* 371:252–254
- Saunders JW (1948) The proximo-distal sequence of origin of the parts of the chick wing and the role of the ectoderm. *J Exp Zool* 108:363–402
- Saunders JW, Gasseling MT (1968) Ectodermal-mesenchymal interactions in the origin of limb symmetry. In: Fleischmajer R, Billingham R (eds) *Epithelial mesenchymal interactions*. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 78–97

- Savage MP, Fallon JF (1995) FGF-2 mRNA and its antisense message are expressed in a developmentally specific manner in the chick limb bud and mesonephros. *Dev Dyn* 202:343–353
- Savage MP, Hart CE, Riley BB, Sasse J, Olwin BB, Fallon JF (1993) Distribution of FGF-2 suggests it has a role in chick limb bud growth. *Dev Dyn* 198:159–170
- Shang J, Luo Y, Clayton DA (1997) Backfoot is a novel homeobox gene expressed in the mesenchyme of developing hind limb. *Dev Dyn* 209: 242–253
- Shears DJ, Vassal HJ, Goodman FR, Palmer RW, Reardon W, Superti-Furga A, Scambler PJ, Winter RM (1998) Mutation and deletion of the pseudoautosomal gene SHOX cause Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis. *Nat Genet* 19:70–73
- Sherman L, Wainwright D, Ponta H, Herrlich P (1998) A splice variant of CD44 expressed in the apical ectodermal ridge presents fibroblast growth factors to limb mesenchyme and is required for limb outgrowth. *Genes Dev* 12:1058–1071
- Shiang R, Thompson LM, Zhu YZ, Church DM, Fielder TJ, Bocian M, Winokur ST, Wasmuth JJ (1994) Mutations in the transmembrane domain of FGFR3 cause the most common genetic form of dwarfism, achondroplasia. *Cell* 78:335–342
- Shubin N, Tabin C, Carroll S (1997) Fossils, genes and the evolution of animal limbs. *Nature* 388:639–648
- Sordino P, van der Hoeven F, Duboule D (1995) Hox gene expression in teleost fins and the origin of vertebrate digits. *Nature* 375:678–681
- Stratford T, Horton C, Maden M (1996) Retinoic acid is required for the initiation of outgrowth in the chick limb bud. *Curr Biol* 6:1124–1233
- Stratford TH, Kostakopoulou K, Maden M (1997) Hoxb-8 has a role in establishing early anteroposterior polarity in chick forelimb but not hindlimb. *Development* 124:4225–4234
- Suemori H, Takahashi N, Noguchi S (1995) *Hoxc-9* mutant mice show anterior transformation of the vertebrae and malformation of the sternum and ribs. *Mech Dev* 51:265–273
- Summerbell D (1974) A quantitative analysis of the effect of excision of the AER from the chick limb-bud. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 32:651–660
- Summerbell D, Lewis JH, Wolpert L (1973) Positional information in chick limb morphogenesis. *Nature* 244:492–496
- Suzuki HR, Sakamoto H, Yoshida T, Sugimura T, Terada M, Solursh M (1992) Localization of HstI transcripts to the apical ectodermal ridge in the mouse embryo. *Dev Biol* 150:219–222
- Takahashi I, Nuckolls GH, Takahashi K, Tanaka O, Semba I, Dashner R, Shum L, Slavkin HC (1998) Compressive force promotes sox9, type II collagen and aggrecan and inhibits IL-1beta expression resulting in chondrogenesis in mouse embryonic limb bud mesenchymal cells. *J Cell Sci* 111:2067–2076
- Thaller C, Eichele G (1987) Identification and spatial distribution of retinoids in the developing chick limb bud. *Nature* 327:625–628
- Thisse B, Thisse C, Weston J (1995) Novel FGF receptor (Z-FGFR4) is dynamically expressed in mesoderm and neuroectoderm during early zebrafish embryogenesis. *Dev Dyn* 203:377–391
- Thomas JT, Kilpatrick MW, Lin K, Erlacher L, Lembessis P, Costa T, Tsiouras P, Luyten FP (1997) Disruption of human limb morphogenesis by a dominant negative mutation in CDMP1. *Nat Genet* 17:58–64
- Tickle C (1998) Worlds in common through NF-kappaB. *Nature* 395:547–549
- Tickle C, Alberts B, Wolpert L, Lee J (1982) Local application of retinoic acid to the limb bud mimics the action of the polarizing region. *Nature* 296:564–566
- Tickle C, Lee J, Eichele G (1985) A quantitative analysis of the effect of all-trans-retinoic acid on the pattern of chick wing development. *Dev Biol* 109:82–95
- van der Hoeven F, Sordino P, Fraudeau N, Izpisua-Belmonte JC, Duboule D (1996a) Teleost HoxD and HoxA genes: comparison with tetrapods and functional evolution of the HOXD complex. *Mech Dev* 54:9–21
- van der Hoeven F, Zákány J, Duboule D (1996b) Gene transpositions in the HoxD complex reveal a hierarchy of regulatory controls. *Cell* 85:1025–1035
- Vandersea MW, Fleming P, McCarthy RA, Smith DG (1998) Fin duplications and deletions induced by disruption of retinoic acid signaling. *Dev Genes Evol* 208:61–68
- Vogel A, Tickle C (1993) FGF-4 maintains polarizing activity of posterior limb bud cells in vivo and in vitro. *Development* 119:199–206
- Vogel A, Rodriguez C, Warnken W, Izpisua-Belmonte JC (1995) Dorsal cell fate specified by chick Lmx1 during vertebrate limb development. *Nature* 378:716–720
- Vogel A, Rodriguez C, Izpisua-Belmonte JC (1996) Involvement of FGF-8 in initiation, outgrowth and patterning of the vertebrate limb. *Development* 122:1737–1750
- Vollrath D, Jaramillo-Babb VL, Clough MV, McIntosh I, Scott KM, Lichter PR, Richards JE (1998) Loss-of-function mutations in the LIM-homeodomain gene, LMX1B, in nail-patella syndrome. *Nat Genet* 7:1091–1098
- Vortkamp A, Gessler M, Grzeschik KH (1991) GLI3 zinc-finger gene interrupted by translocations in Greig syndrome families. *Nature* 352:539–540
- Vortkamp A, Lee K, Lanske B, Segre GV, Kronenberg HM, Tabin CJ (1996) Regulation of rate of cartilage differentiation by Indian hedgehog and PTH-related protein. *Science* 273:613–622
- Vortkamp A, Pathi S, Peretti GM, Caruso EM, Zaleske DJ, Tabin CJ (1998) Recapitulation of signals regulating embryonic bone formation during postnatal growth and fracture repair. *Mech Dev* 71:65–76
- Whiting J, Marshall H, Cook M, Krumlauf R, Rigby PW, Stott D, Allemann RK (1991) Multiple spatially specific enhancers are required to reconstruct the pattern of Hox-2.6 gene expression. *Genes Dev* 5:2048–2059
- Wild A, Kalff-Suske M, Vortkamp A, Bornholdt D, König R, Grzeschik KH (1997) Point mutations in human GLI3 cause Greig syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 6:1979–1984
- Wilkie AOM, Morriss-Kay GM, Jones EY, Heath JK (1995) Functions of fibroblast growth factors and their receptors. *Curr Biol* 5:500–507
- Wilkie AO, Slaney SF, Oldridge M, Poole MD, Ashworth GJ, Hockley AD, Hayward RD, David DJ, Pulley LJ, Rutland P, et al. (1995) Apert syndrome results from localized mutations of FGFR2 and is allelic with Crouzon syndrome. *Nat Genet* 9:165–172
- Wolpert L, Hornbruch A (1987) Positional signalling and the development of the humerus in the chick limb bud. *Development* 100:333–338
- Xu X, Weinstein M, Li C, Naski M, Cohen RI, Ornitz D, Leder P, Deng C (1998) Fibroblast growth factor receptor 2 (FGFR2)-mediated reciprocal regulation loop between FGF8 and FGF10 is essential for limb induction. *Development* 125:753–765
- Yang Y, Niswander L (1995) Interaction between the signaling molecules WNT7a and SHH during vertebrate limb development: dorsal signals regulate anteroposterior patterning. *Cell* 80:939–947
- Yang Y, Drossopoulou G, Chuang PT, Duprez D, Marti E, Bumcrot D, Vargesson N, Clarke J, Niswander L, McMahon A, Tickle C (1997) Relationship between dose, distance and time in *Sonic Hedgehog*-mediated regulation of anteroposterior polarity in the chick limb. *Development* 124:4393–4404
- Yang Y, Guillot P, Boyd Y, Lyon MF, McMahon AP (1998) Evidence that preaxial polydactyly in the Doublefoot mutant is due to ectopic Indian Hedgehog signaling. *Development* 135:3123–3132
- Yokouchi Y, Sasaki H, Kuriowa A (1991) Homeobox gene expression correlated with the bifurcation process of limb cartilage development. *Nature* 353:443–445
- Zákány J, Duboule D (1996) Synpolydactyly in mice with a targeted deficiency in the HoxD complex. *Nature* 384:69–71
- Zákány J, Fromental-Ramain C, Warot X, Duboule D (1997a) Regulation of number and size of digits by posterior Hox genes: a dose-dependent mechanism with potential evolutionary implications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94:13695–13700
- Zákány J, Gérard M, Favier B, Duboule D (1997b) Deletion of *HOXD* enhancer induces transcriptional heterochrony leading to transposition of the sacrum. *Embo J* 16:4393–4402